

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE UNITED STATE Patent and Tracemark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/949.988	10/14/97	YUNG		K	PD-96315
_ · · · _ · _ · _ · _ · _ · · · · · · ·		PM51/123	11 7	EXAMINER	
HUGHES ELECTRONICS P 0 BOX 80028 BLDG C1 MAIL STATION A-126 LOS ANGELES CA 90080-0028			,	DINH.T	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			٠.	3641	3
				DATE MAILED:	12/31/98

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No.

T. Dinh

08/949,988

Applicant(s)

Yung et al

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Group Art Unit 3641

Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·
☐ This action is FINAL .	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19	935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is see is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failu application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Exter 37 CFR 1.136(a).	re to respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
X Claim(s) 1-19	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	
Claim(s)	
Claims	
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj The proposed drawing correction, filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prior All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copie received received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial is received in this national stage application from *Certified copies not received: Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic pr	is approved disapproved. ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). s of the priority documents have been Number) the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
Attachment(s) ☒ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Pape ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413 ☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION O	ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES

Application/Control Number: 08/949,988

Art Unit: 3641

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the block 30 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 1 and 10, it is stated that the system determine the time dependent coverage of the satellite constellation based on the period of the rotation of the satellites. This is misleading since it seems that this can not be done. The period of rotation of the satellites is the time it takes for it to rotate around its axis in one revolution. How could one skilled in the art can obtain the period of coverage from the period of rotation? Could the applicant mean the period of orbit and not rotation? Please explain.

Application/Control Number: 08/949,988

Art Unit: 3641

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-19, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Draim in view of Horstein, et al '368.

Draim discloses that a satellite constellations covering a specific geographical area but is silent on the tilting of the constellations to cover a second coverage. However, Horstein, et al '368 teaches that an orbit trajectory having many tilt or inclination to cover various geographical areas are well known in the art.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have tilted the trajectory of the satellite constellations of Draim as taught by Horstein et al '368 to maximize the coverage area of the desired geographical area.

As for the determining the "period of rotation" and determining the time coverage of the constellation based on the period of rotation and the trajectory of the desired satellite, please note that these are inherent steps that one skilled in the art would take so that the desired positions of the satellite can be accomplished to prevent the satellite from being lost and to maximize the coverage.

Application/Control Number: 08/949,988

Art Unit: 3641

As for the programming of the computers on the satellite or sending command signals to the satellite and using simulations, please note that in today's day and age, these topics are well known to be used in the aerospace field.

As for the equations and the rotation matrices, please note that these are basic, inherent equations that one skilled in the art would have used to determine the period of rotations.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Grisham, Castiel et al, Smith et al, Bond, and Kefalas et al teaches various tilting of the trajectory.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tien Dinh whose telephone number is (703) 308-2798. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday from 8 A.M. to 5 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, C. Jordan, can be reached on (703) 306-4159.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Charles T. Jordan
Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3600